# Unsupervised Dimensionality Reduction via PCA

David I. Inouye Monday, January 13, 2025

# Very high-dimensional data is becoming ubiquitous

- ▸Images (1 million pixels)
- ▸Text (100k unique words)
- ▸Genetics (4 million SNPs)
- ▸Business data (12 million products)









Why dimensionality reduction? Lower computation costs

- ▸Suppose original dimension is large like  $d = 100000$ (e.g., images, DNA sequencing, or text)
- $\triangleright$  If we reduce to  $k = 100$ dimensions, the training algorithm can be sped up by  $1000 \times$



4-5 million SNPs in human genome. <https://www.diagnosticsolutionslab.com/tests/genomicinsight>

## Why dimensionality reduction? Visualization

▸Allows 2D scatterplot visualizations even of high-dimensional data (2D projection of digits)



<https://jakevdp.github.io/PythonDataScienceHandbook/05.09-principal-component-analysis.html>

David I. Inouye 3

Why dimensionality reduction? Noise reduction via reconstruction



# Outline of Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- 1. Motivation for dimensionality reduction
- 2. Formal PCA problem: Min reconstruction
- 3. Derive PCA formulation for 1D
	- ▸Least error 1D projection is orthogonal
	- ▸Sum over all data points
- 4. Solution is based on truncated SVD
- 5. Alternative problem: Max variance

## Review of linear algebra and introduction to numpy Python library

▸See Jupyter notebook, which can be opened and run in Google Colab

Math: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can be formalized as minimizing the linear reconstruction error of the data using only  $k \le d$  dimensions

▸PCA can be formalized as

$$
\min_{Z,W} \|X_c - ZW^T\|_F^2
$$

▸where

 $X_c = X - \mathbf{1}_n \mu_x^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  (centered input data  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$  (latent representation or "scores")  $W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  (principal components)  $w_s^T w_t = 0, w_s^T w_s = ||w_s||_2^2 = 1, \forall s, t$ (orthogonal constraint)

Math: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can be formalized as minimizing the linear reconstruction error of the data using only  $k \le d$  dimensions

min  $\mathsf{Z} {\in} \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ ,W ${\in} \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  $X_c-ZW^T\|_F^2$  $\frac{2}{F}$  s.t.  $W^T W = I_k$ 

- $\triangleright$  Let's stare at this equation some more  $\odot$
- ▸Why is this dimensionality reduction?
- ▸What does the orthogonal constraint mean?
- ▸Why minimize the squared Frobenius norm?
- $||X_c ZW^T||_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i^T z_i^T W^T$ 2 2  $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i - Wz_i||_2^2$
- ▸For analysis, let's simplify to a single dimension  $(i.e., k = 1)$ 
	- $\sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i z_i w||_2^2$  where  $z_i$  is a scalar

What is the best projection given a fixed subspace (line in 1D case)?

If we are given  $w$ , what is the best  $z$  (i.e. minimum reconstruction error) for a given  $x$ ?



- ▸The orthogonal projection!  $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} = ||\mathbf{x}|| ||\mathbf{w}|| \cos \theta = ||\mathbf{x}|| \cos \theta$  $\mathbf{z} = ||\mathbf{x}|| \cos \theta = \text{hyp} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ adj  $=$  adj
	- hyp  $\triangleright$  zw is a scaled vector along the line defined by w

Thus, we can simplify to only minimizing over  $W$ 

$$
\min_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 = 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{x}_i - z_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = \min_{\mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 = 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}\|_2^2
$$

- ▸Now we can return to the Frobenius norm: min  $w: ||w||_2=1$  $X_c - \bm{z}\bm{w}^T \big\|_F^2$ 2 where  $z = X_c w$
- $\triangleright$  What is  $zw^T$ ? Have we seen something like this before?
- $\triangleright$  This is the best low-rank approximation to  $X_c$ , which is given by the SVD!
	- $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1$ , where  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_1$  are the first singular value, left singular vector and right singular vector respectively.

#### For  $k \geq 1$ , the PCA solution is the top k right singular vectors

• If 
$$
X_c = USV^T
$$
, then the general solution is  
\n
$$
W^* = V_{1:k}
$$

#### $\triangleright$  Remember: SVD is best k dim. approximation



#### Intuition: Principal component analysis finds the **best** linear projection onto a lower-dimensional space



2D to 1D projection: Red lines show the projection error onto 1D lines. PCA finds the line that has the smallest projection error (in this example, when it aligns with the purple).

<https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/2691/making-sense-of-principal-component-analysis-eigenvectors-eigenvalues>

Minimizing reconstruction error (red lines) is equivalent to maximizing the variance of projection (spread of red points)



Equivalent solutions: The solution to both problems is the top k right singular vectors of  $X_c$ 

- ▶ Minimize reconstruction error min  $W: W^T W = I_k$  $X_c - (X_c W)W^T \|_F^2$ 2
	- Singular value decomposition (SVD) of  $X_c = USV<sup>T</sup>$

▶ Solution: 
$$
W^* = V_{1:k}
$$

▸Maximize variance of latent projection (equivalent solution)

$$
\max_{W: W^T W = I_k} \operatorname{Tr}(W^T \hat{\Sigma} W)
$$
\n
$$
\star \text{ where } \hat{\Sigma} := \frac{1}{n} X_c^T X_c \text{ is the covariance matrix}
$$
\n
$$
\star n\hat{\Sigma} = X_c^T X_c = (USV^T)^T (USV^T) = (VSU^T)(USV^T) =
$$
\n
$$
VS(U^T U)SV^T = VS^2 V^T = Q\Lambda Q^T
$$
\n
$$
\star \text{ Solution: } W^* = Q_{1:k} \equiv V_{1:k}!
$$

# Recap: Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- 1. Motivation for dimensionality reduction
- 2. Formal PCA problem: Min reconstruction
- 3. Derive PCA formulation for 1D
	- ▸Least error 1D projection is orthogonal
	- ▸Sum over all data points
- 4. Solution is based on truncated SVD
- 5. Alternative viewpoint: Max variance
	- ▸Derive equivalence
	- ▸Derive equivalent solutions

# Demo of PCA via sklearn (time permitting)

- ▸Random projections vs PCA projections
- ▸Visualizations of
	- ▸Minimum reconstruction error
	- ▸Maximum variance
	- $\triangleright$  Explained variance based on  $k$
- ▸Code examples
	- ► Digits
	- ▸Eigenfaces

# Questions?

# Optional extra derivation slides

### How is PCA similar or different than the following maximization problem?

- ▸Minimize reconstruction error min  $W:W^TW=I_k$  $X_c - (X_c W)W^T \|_F^2$ 2
- ▸Alternative problem

$$
\max_{W: W^T W = I_k} \operatorname{Tr}(W^T X_c^T X_c W)
$$

- $\Gamma \Gamma(W^T X_c^T X_c W) = \text{Tr}((X_c W)^T (X_c W))$
- $\mathbf{r} = \text{Tr}(Z^T Z)$
- $\mathbf{v}=\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{z}_j^T \mathbf{z}_j$
- $\mathbf{r} = n \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i,j}^2$
- $\mathbf{v} = n \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{z,j}^2$  where  $\sigma_{z,j}^2$  is the variance of the j-th latent dimension
- ▸Given this, what does the optimization problem **mean**?
- ▸Answer: This objective maximizes the sum of variances of the data projected onto  $W$ .

### 1D derivation of min error equivalent to max variance

▸First step: Simplify squared distance

► 
$$
||x_i - (x_i^T w)w||_2^2
$$
  
\n► =  $(x_i - (x_i^T w)w)^T (x_i - (x_i^T w)w)$   
\n▶ =  $x_i^T x_i - 2(x_i^T w)w^T x_i + (x_i^T w)^2 w^T w$   
\n▶ =  $||x_i||^2 - 2(x_i^T w)^2 + (x_i^T w)^2 ||w||^2$   
\n▶ =  $||x_i||^2 - (x_i^T w)^2$ 

### 1D derivation of min error equivalent to max variance

▸Equivalence of optimization in 1D

▶ arg min 
$$
\sum_{w} ||x_i - (x_i^T w)w||_2^2
$$
  
\n▶ = arg min  $\sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 - (x_i^T w)^2$  = arg min  $\sum_{i} -(x_i^T w)^2$   
\n▶ = arg max  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (x_i^T w)^2$  = arg max  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} z_i^2$   
\n▶ = arg max  $\sigma_z^2$   
\n► = arg max  $\sigma_z^2$   
\nNote *z* is already centered so mean of squares is variance

Therefore, we can reformulate the problem as maximizing the variance

▸Let's rewrite this last term

$$
\sigma_Z^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (z_i)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i^T w)^2 = \frac{1}{n} (X_c w)^T (X_c w) =
$$
  

$$
w^T \left(\frac{1}{n} X_c^T X_c\right) w = w^T \hat{\Sigma} w
$$

- ▸Thus, our problem can be formulated as:
	- $\triangleright$  max  $w^T \hat{\Sigma} w$  $w:$  $\overline{||w||} = 1$
- $\triangleright$  The solution is the eigenvector  $q_1$  of  $\hat{\Sigma} = Q \Lambda Q^T$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$

$$
w^* = q_1
$$

### For  $k > 1$ , we maximize the sum of variances for each latent dimension

▸More generally we can formulate this as:

$$
\sum_{W:W^TW=I_k}^{W:W^TW=I_k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{z_j}^2
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{W:W^TW=I_k}^{W:W^TW=I_k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j^T \hat{\Sigma} w_j
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{W:W^TW=I_k}^{W:W^TW=I_k} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(W^T X_c^T X_c W)
$$

 $\triangleright$  The solution is the top k eigenvectors of  $\hat{\Sigma} =$ QΛ  $\overline{T}$ 

$$
\mathbb{P} W^* = Q_{1:k}
$$